

SO "THESE SHOTS FIRED BY ME" WHICH BOTH "CROWN AND SAUL HOLT KNEW."
"NEVER HAPPENED AT ALL". "BULLET HOLES IN THE REAR FENCE TELLS THIS!"
WERE NOT THERE EARLIER IN DAY AT ALL. "SOG OP (55) NEVER SAW THEM!"
THE REAR FENCE IS "LITERALLY PEPPERED BY BULLET HOLES FOR F*CK SAKE!"
BIT HARD TO MISS "WHEN YOU'RE LOOKING FOR THEM!" (3X) SHOTS WERE FIRED
WHY WASN'T I CHARGED WITH THEM AT NIGHT WHEN THEY OCCURRED THEN?
BECAUSE I WAS PRESENTED ON A "FAR MORE SERIOUS INFLAMMED CHARGE".

Charge 3 Reckless endangerment

SHOTS FIRED WHEN THREAT POSED TO TRAFFIC IN SURROUNDING AREA WAS STILL ACTIVE AND POSED "A FAR GREATER DANGER".

AT NIGHT "NO TRAFFIC" AREA SHUT DOWN "FAR LESS GRAVE IN THE COURTS!"

Photo 85-87" (1x) Bullet

Photo 70 - 72 = (1x) bullet hole,

Photo 65 - 68 = (1x) bullet hole,

Photo 81 - 84 = (1x) bullet hole.

Photo- 82-84 = (1x) bullet hole

Sub- total = (5x) Bullet holes proven, "Not" (2) as Crown Profess "only exist."

Let's not overlook "un- accounted 3rd fired" at 10.09.am on the 23rd May 2012.

That "may be found in ground" given that (1x) bullet "hit low" in photo 71 -72.

BOTH CROWN PROSECUTORS PAST & PRESENT ARE "GUILTY IN CRIMINAL CONDUCT!"

WITH LEGAL COUNSEL LIKE THAT, CROWN DON'T NEED A PROSECUTOR AT ALL!
THEY "STILL HAVE SAUL HOLT ON A RETAINER BY LOOKS OF THINGS".

Page. 197 L8- 10 Mr Chatwick: Is it the prosecution case that the third bullet placed or may have placed passing motorist in danger of serious injury.

Page 197 L14 -16 His Honour: "Yes the north -east corner is, tell me if this is correct, the lower right hand corner of the extended back yard?"

Page 197 L17 -18 Mr Chatwick "Yes, the corner closest to the pylon. Yes, the lower corner in the photo".

Page 197 L19 - His Honour: "Yes, alright on the right?"

Page 197 L20 Mr Chatwick: "Thats the one".

Page 197 L21 His Honour: Okay.²¹.

Is it the prosecution case that the third bullet placed or may have placed passing motorist in danger of serious injury. Sic.

²¹ Supreme Court of Victoria from dates of 28th -30th May 2014. Before His Honour Justice T. Forrest

OK, there was only one holes or two holes? ---From what I recall, I remember seeing one hole in the fence and one hole in the sound barrier.

OK, all right. And the others may have gone into the ground? ---I don't know. Do you know if they were ever recovered, those slugs? ---I don't know.¹¹

THE "SOLE AND ONLY WITNESS, PRESENT AT TIME OF INCIDENT" "10.10.a.m."

AT "NO TIME" DOES OP 55 EVER STATE HE IDENTIFY'S ANY HOLE(S) IN EITHER THE REAR FENCELINE OR IN "SIDE FENCE", OTHER THEN PHOTO "86".

PHOTOS 65 - 69 ARE LOCATED ON "REAR FENCELINE" TO KEILOR PARK DRIVE.

Indictment 2 (C11434997.4) "WRONGFUL CONVICTION" based on FALSE EVIDENCE!

Page 185 L29 – 186 L1 Mr Holt: As we alluded to earlier in the day, there was a period required after the prisoner had been unrepresented at his committal of trust – building with his legal representatives.²¹

I DID NOT TRUST HIM THAN "MORE SO NOW!"
HAD ME PLEA TO Indictment 2 (C11434997.4) Charge 3.

IN HAVING SHOTS "ROLLED UP", YET IS A "FALSE CONVICTION" "CORRUPT!"

SUBSTITUTING FACTS "WITH PURE DECIET", "TO INFLAME AND AGGRAVATE!"

"CORRUPT DEFENCE?"

ACCUSED TERM BE QUASHED AND RE-SENTENCED BASED ON "ALL TRUE FACTS".

BIBLIOGRAPHY.

¹¹ Melbourne Magistrates Court Evidence March 2013.

²¹ Supreme Court of Victoria from dates of 28th -30th May 2014. Before His Honour Justice T. Forrest

²³ LORETTA COLLIER-CURAVIC POLICE INTERVIEW 22nd MAY 2012

²⁴ Siege. Police brief, photos taken May 2012.

³³ SUPREME COURT OF VICTORIA EVIDENCE 27th MARCH 2017.

⁹² Police S.O.G. Operator (55) Statement Exhibit (I). Committal deps pages 883-84.

¹¹ Melbourne Magistrates Court Evidence March 2013.

²¹ Supreme Court of Victoria from dates of 28th -30th May 2014. Before His Honour Justice T. Forrest