

Had a significant history pointing a firearm at a police officer.

Page 4 Line 21-Page 5 line 7.

Your Honour, and this is the thing *I was killed, for pointing guns at the police officer, a history of pointing guns at police officers, I have not got one charge, I have never been charged for pointing a gun at police officers, it is initial to all the stuff that was presented to you, okay, that was false, misleading, I understand why I got 14 years, because of my, of the submissions on my, on behalf of Saul, who was the Crown Prosecutor at one stage, prosecuted me, he didn't do nothing to mitigate or tone down or.*

HIS HONOUR: Mr Pecotic, I'm going to have to interrupt you because this is just a mention, this is not the plea hearing. You have raised a couple of things that you might need to think about, I know that, but if you have a concern about me hearing this matter you are entitled to ask knee disqualify myself and I will consider it.

ACCUSED: *No, I don't have a concern, I thought, you know - - -*

HIS HONOUR: Okay, that's one. ²⁹.

Page 101 L13 -21 Mr Holt: he had, just pointed a loaded firearm at three police officers or at least two police officers and listening to the police radio at least for a period of time he would have and given the history that he's got, he would be well aware of just how appropriately, given what they knew, police knew at the time, how seriously that would be taken because he is someone who they knew had a significant history pointing a firearm at a police officer. ²¹.

COUNSEL STATES "I HAVE A PROPENSITY TO POINT FIREARMS AT POLICE".

Yet it goes beyond that suggesting I have a **"SIGNIFICANT HISTORY"** OF SUCH ACTS! Refer to accused criminal antecedents for Validation of claim made by **"defence"**.

Victoria Police **"Leap"** print out of accused antecedent history.

NO such charges exist, let alone convictions remotely connected to such calumny claims! **"MALICIOUS PRESENTATION OF ACCUSED", "CONTRARY TO FACTS!"**

NATIONWIDE SEARCH WAS DONE, ONLY VICTORIA AND N.S.W HAVE POLICE RECORDS. NONE RECORD "NOR RELATE TO ANY HISTORY OF POINTING GUNS AT POLICE!" LET ALONE "SIGNIFICANT HISTORY" OF CONDUCT AND BEHAVIOUR!

Perjury detrimental and so prejudicial and inflammatory to accused.

The unfounded wilful deliberate dishonest claims made by Defence "Saul Holt" Q.C.

SAUL HOLT WOULD CORRUPT A FORGED # EXHIBIT B – chronology of events at siege.

Page 141 L11 # EXHIBIT B. – Chronology of events at the siege. ²¹.

At 3.15.pm. the "evidence" section of chronology graph. -

CB point the gun for "five" seconds. Then the firearm discharges. **Howard fears his safety⁹⁰.**

²⁹ SUPREME COURT OF VICTORIA EVIDENCE 8TH SEPTEMBER 2016.

²¹ Supreme Court of Victoria from dates of 28th -30th May 2014. Before His Honour Justice T. Forrest

²¹ Supreme Court of Victoria from dates of 28th -30th May 2014. Before His Honour Justice T. Forrest

⁹⁰ # EXHIBIT B – chronology of events at the siege.

YET "CONCEALS THE REAL TRUE EXPOSURE TO FLASH BANG MUNITIONS DEPLOYED UPON ME!" THESE WRITTEN SUBMISSIONS NOW "MADE UP" "SUPPLANTING" "TRUE FACTS ON INCIDENT!"

"HOWARD SAFETY FEARS" WHY INTRODUCE THIS? SO DETRIMENTAL TO ACCUSED.

At 3.15.pm. the **"Comments"** section of chronology graph.-

(Note), it is arguable that CB was trying to warn the SOG to backaway and aimed the gun and held it for a few seconds. When the vehicle did not move after a "five second pause". CB opened fire. In other words gave the police a chance to retreat.) **Howard suffered broken sleep⁹⁰.**

WHY VOLUNTEER **"HOWARD SUFFERED BROKEN SLEEP"?** AND **"WHERE IS HIS PROOF?"** THIS IS WHAT A **"CROWN PROSECUTOR WOULD INTRODUCE"**, **"NOT COMING FROM DEFENCE"**. **WHAT INFORMATION, MEDICAL REPORTS DID HE RELY UPON I ASK? TO "VALIDATE CLAIMS MADE?"**

HIS OWN CLIENTS CASE SAUL HOLT **WOULD "HIDE, LIE & DENY THEY EXIST AT ALL!"**

INTERESTING TO NOTE, ESTEEMED JUDAS DEFENCE COUNSEL "SAULT HOLT". DOES NOT INTRODUCE MEDICAL EVIDENCE OF "MY FLASHBACKS". AND EXPOSURE TO (11X) FLASHBANG GRENADES DEPLOYED UPON ACCUSED AT ALL. WHICH HE HAD IN FACT GONE TO EXTREME DELIBERATE MEASURES TO CONCEAL TO THE COURTS, "DELIBERATELY LIE", WHEN HE "KNEW EVIDENCE TO BE".

TRUE EXTENT OF MY EXPOSURE WAS "OMMITTED" BY COUNSEL "SAUL HOLT!"

Geo Care APPLICATION FOR PYSCHIATRIC NURSE APPOINTMENT 18/ 11/ 2012. "Troubles "P.T.S.S." I believe due to being shot (6) times with rubber bullets by S.O.G. "¹⁸.

Geo Care Medical report 26/01/2013. Psychiatric Nurse. Chris seen for review today. **"Discussed past traumas @ length", "effects on mental state", "current way of thinking". "Feels that has been able to adapt self to avoid" "flight instinct & always fight". Therefore confrontations & Police & authority. "Chris now wishes to alter way of thinking" and "inmate response to over react in dangerous settings":¹⁸.**

Local Plan Files Notes- Location 27/ 1/ 2013."Chris handed in his T.V. to staff today", **"stating that the images of war in Syria & other negative stories from around the globe are triggering significant Post Traumatic Stress Issues". "He needs to deal with these emotions" "hence giving in his T.V. to staff".** Psych services engage in constant consultation with prisoner".¹⁸.

Local Plan Files Notes- Location 28/ 1/ 2013."Chris is still showing signs of stress".¹⁸.

Geo Care Medical report 28/01/2013. Psychiatric Nurse. Whilst on Unit this Am. Staff notified self-prisoner had handed in T.V. as **"was sick" of watching so many people die in Syria"** Nil risk identified.¹⁸.

⁹⁰ # EXHIBIT B – chronology of events at the siege.

¹⁸ Prison medical reports.

Page 26 L 4 -5 Mr Chatwick: At approximately 2.10 a.m. the SOPG fired chemical munitions into the house.

Page 51 L 6-7 Ms Warren: Now, the second DVD of the CCTV footage, Your Honour, really highlights from the siege.

Page 57 L6-7 Ms Warren: Clip 7 is at 7.27 p.m., and I should be clear, this is on the 22 May. **Page 57 L14-21** Ms Warren: The final clip, Your Honour, will be clip 9 and I'll also indicate that clips 10 and 11 are again different angles of what clip 9 will show and then clip 12 simply depicts the front of the house and initially depicts the SOG operators preparing to act to subdue the accused and depicts the siege coming to a Conclusion Ms Warren DVD played to the court.)

Page 60 L14- 15 Mr Chatwick: Just for completion, could the last CCTV that was played, which was footage of the siege is tendered.

Page 60 L18- 19 # EXHIBIT 13. – DVD showing CCTV of various aspects of siege.

Mr Holt: Page 104L6-11: The image that Your Honour has seen and the chronology that's been provided to the Crown opening are all entirely accurate descriptions of things that have occurred during the siege but with respect to my learned friends they are necessarily selective, necessarily selected.²¹

VERY MUCH THE SAME SPONSORING OF CROWNS SPURIOUS ASSERTIONS MADE DURING **"KEILOR PARK DRIVE SHOTS FIRED INCIDENT"**. **"WHOLE HEARTEDLY SUPPORTING THE CROWNS FALSE SUBMISSIONS, WHICH HE KNEW THEM TO BE"**. **YET THIS TIME "MAKES IT APPEAR HE IS GENUINE" BY STATING "ARE NECESSARILY SELECTIVE"**, NOT ONCE BUT **TWICE TO SOME HOW EMPHASIZE THE POINT!**

BUT WOULD GO ON TO "ENGAGE IN FAR GREATER COVER UP DECIETS!"

THIS IS 2 CROWN PROSECUTORS WORKING IN TANDEM TOGETHER **"TAG TEAMING ME!"** TO **"DENY ME OF A LEGITIMATE JUST HONEST FAIR ACCOUNT OF EVENTS!"** IN **"LEGITIMATE RIGHT TO PRESENT ALL FACTS/ EVIDENCE IN MITIGATION OF PLEA!"**

CONCEALING AND MASKING THE TRUTH "WITH LIES AND UTTER PURE DECIET ACTS!"

IN BOTH # EXHIBIT B – chronology of events at the siege. AND # EXHIBIT C.

WHICH WILL BE EXPOSED IN THE FOLLOWING. **WHICH HAS MORE FOOTAGE OF FLASH BANGS IN USE, A GREATER IMPACT UPON ME RE-VISITING IT.**

THAN **EXHIBIT # B. CONTENTS "EVER DID!"** EVEN A **WATERED DOWN SAUL HOLTS DEFENCE VERSION "WAS STILL ABLE TO F*CK ME UP!"**

Page 105 Line 3-12:

MR PECOTIC: Now, there was a disc that was in amongst the material that I'd sent - post, sent to you last year in amongst the discs. That - there's a disc there that is actually identified as in Roman numerals, (ii), No.2 in brackets, (ii), and then four x's, okay, then a bracket, then .1, okay? That's the siege - that's the CG exposure exposure to the flash bangs and stuff, okay, that I was exposed to. Now, if I can – and that shows the true extent, and I don't want it to be exposed to this. If he – there's no need for it to be played in the courts.³²

YET FIRST I WILL DEMONSTRATE THE IMPACT RE-VISITING THE IMAGES OF SIEGE AND FLASH BANGS.

²¹ Supreme Court of Victoria from dates of 28th -30th May 2014. Before His Honour Justice T. Forrest

³² SUPREME COURT OF VICTORIA EVIDENCE 24th MARCH 2017.

HOW IT HAD "PROFOUNDLY EFFECTED ME" "WITNESSED BY ALL!"

AGAIN "RE-INFORCING MY P.T.S.D. CONDITION", WHICH SAUL HOLT HAD "DISMISSED WITH CONTEMPT", INSTEAD OF "SHOW CASING IT BEFORE HIS HONOUR IN MITIGATION IN THE PLEA".

Re-living Siege C.C.T.V. footage P.T.S.D pages 154 L9-155 L26, 156L1-8.

Page. 156 L3 # EXHIBIT C. – Defence CD compilation of siege footage.

Pamela Lynn Mathews evidence Page 160 L10 -11 - Mr Holt: Might my instructor approach Mr Binse for a moment, Your Honour.

Page 160 L12 His Honour: Yes.

Pamela Lynn Mathews evidence Page 160 L13 -14 - Mr Holt: Might I get standing permission for that to occur, if it needs to?

Page 160 L15 -16 His Honour: Yes that can occur, whenever your instructor deems it appropriate.

Pamela Lynn Mathews evidence Page 160 L17- 18 - Mr Holt: Thank you, Your Honour. (To witness) I'm sorry, I asked you a question about his presentation.

Page 160 L19 His Honour: Perhaps I think Mr Binse should ---

Pamela Lynn Mathews evidence Page 160 L20- 21 - Mr Holt: Yes, I'm sorry. Might I approach him, Our Honour, I'm sorry?

Page 160 L22 -23 His Honour: You have the same standing permission to approach him.

Pamela Lynn Mathews evidence Page 160 L24 -25 - Mr Holt: Right. I'm instructed to proceed, Your Honour, thank you. Page 160 L26 His Honour: Yes.

Page 169 L30 – 170 L1 Mr Holt: I'm sure my friend will have some questions but could we break now, given its five to and I'm just conscious of Mr Binse's current state.²¹

Page 76 Line 18- 31:

You have seen - seen some of the footage when we played the video - the footage of the siege. You know, I was uncontrollable, man. And that was at the time of sentencing, so before my sentence, during the course of my sentence, I didn't know that. And I've got the - I've got the media in front of me, man. Full house. You see them all fucking all gawking at me, putting me down as sadness now, you know what I mean? Your dignity, you know what I mean?

HIS HONOUR: Yes.

ACCUSED: *I am trying to hide my head here like this.*

HIS HONOUR: Steady on. Slow down. Take a deep breath.

ACCUSED: *Yeah.*

HIS HONOUR: Focus. Stay focussed.³²

Page 105 Line 18- Page 106 Line 2:

ACCUSED: *Thank you. Thanks Your Honour, I appreciate that. What I was going to say is, Now, you seen the impact it had, just watching them, viewing them, the CCT footage, okay? So, I don't really feel comfortable in putting myself needlessly through that. You can view that yourself, really. I don't need to see it again. But the thing is, on that disc, there is a, like a guidance of times and stuff, you know what I mean, that*

²¹ Supreme Court of Victoria from dates of 28th -30th May 2014. Before His Honour Justice T. Forrest

³² SUPREME COURT OF VICTORIA EVIDENCE 24th MARCH 2017.

– that – that I indicate as a guiding tool to when the explosion, certain things are, you know, when the action happens, and stuff, to try and – so you don't have to watch the whole, because some of the, like, some of the material in one hour blocks, okay, so, instead of waiting for it to start, whatever, I've given it like a list of instructions. Watch – these are the times to follow on whatever. ³².

<ALAN FRANKLIN PRINGLE, sworn and examined:

Your Honour my full name is Alan Franklin Pringle. I'm a Leading Senior Constable of police, attached to the Victoria Police Forensic Services Centre at Macleod. There I am a firearm and tool mark examiner.

Frank Pringle Evidence Committal Page 660 L10-14.

Can I take you to Folio N please, have you got Folio N in front of you, can I take you to page or Photo 5 please. That's the one, can you describe what that maybe? --- That's ah, the charge from a CS gas um - - -Canister? ---Canister, yes.

Frank Pringle Evidence Committal Page 660 L19-660 L10.

Handed to you not recovered. Have you got a photo of one of those flash-bang grenade spent cartridge?---No, I don't have - **the items I collected were from the periphery of the premises and I hadn't - I haven't entered the premises so whatever was contained in the premises was still there. That was examined on another day by another member.** So all I'm trying to work out, so you didn't conduct a search, you're a ballistic expert, you would know what to look for if you're looking for flash-bang grenades, spent cartridges, you know, CS gas spent cartridges - I say cartridges for a better term - OK, but you relied upon other police personnel to provide you with these items that they say they found and you conducted searches - examinations of it did you?---Um I - I collected various CS canisters, bean bag cartridge components and flash-bang devices from around the outside of the premises. You say we got some flash-bang devices have we? ---Ah yes. How many of those? ---If you bear with me. **Yeah take your time man, let's be proper, let's be through, let's be factual?** ---Now I have - actually what I have, the flash-bang device is the clip, the handle that comes away from the device.

Frank Pringle Evidence Committal Page 663 L24-644 L15.

It's scary shit man. I do. And you say how many of those are recovered by you? ---I had - as part of Item 11 **I've got four expended, now they're referred to as two bang delay grenade canisters.** Yes, they're not canisters because they've been exploded so they're only - - -? ---So they're just the canister that remains yes, the percussion has been um depleted. Yes? --- And various bean bag cartridge components. **And how many have you recorded there? ---Four.** You got four but are they easy to miss, you know how big are they, the cartridge of just the pin that's left?--

³² SUPREME COURT OF VICTORIA EVIDENCE 24th MARCH 2017.

-Um, now - - - *I'm under the impression that - - -?*

They - no, they were um, they were the expended canisters, that's four, and the

Number of - the number of clips consisted of one, two - - - Double figures or single

Figures?---Single figures, five. And they're just clips that you recovered? ---Yes.

The ring pull sort of thing like a - - -? ---No. They're like that big part? ---The handle.

All right, and that was on the perimeter of the place? ---Outside yes, in the

*Backyard. In The backyard? ---Yes.*¹¹ .

"HE SAID 4!" AND THEY WERE THE ONES LOGGED IN FROM THE REAR YARD, "NOT INSIDE!"

HERE COMES THE **"UN-ADULTERATED LIES BY SAUL HOLT JUDAS COUNSEL AT SIEGE!"**
NOT SATISFIED WITH JUST WRITTEN MATERIAL HE WOULD **"PROVIDE ORAL TESTIMONY
TOO!"**

**Mr Holt: Page 104L6-14: The image that Your Honour has seen and the
chronology that's been provided to the Crown opening are all entirely accurate
descriptions of things that have occurred during the siege but with respect to my
learned friends they are necessarily selective, necessarily selected. They don't
capture the entirety of what occurred and we will be seeking to play for Your
Honour a slightly extended version of some aspects of the siege.**²¹ .

**"WE WILL BE SEEKING TO PLAY FOR YOUR HONOUR A SLIGHTLY EXTENDED VERSION AND SOME
ASPECTS OF THE SIEGE" SIC! QUOTE.**

LIKE I PREVIOUSLY STATED. "ALL SMOKE AND MIRRORS SOUNDS IMPRESSIVE TO ALL."

YET IN REALITY. IS NOTHING MORE BUT. "PURE SPURIOUS CONDUCT BY DEFENCE!"

**Mr Holt: Page 104L17-22: It's important to recognise that the siege went for 44
hours and the things have been highlighted by the prosecution rightly highlighted
occurred in very small parts of that and what we will be taking Your Honour to is
the totality of the siege and the impact it that that is likely to have had on Mr
Binse,**²¹ .

YOU READ THAT, "AND THE IMPACT THAT THAT IS LIKELY TO HAVE HAD ON MR BINSE."

DID HE JUST SAY. "AND THE IMPACT THAT IT IS LIKELY TO HAVE HAD ON MR BINSE?"

YET FAILS TO "PROVIDE THE PRISON MEDICAL REPORTS OF MY DAMAGED STATE OF BEING".

YET REFERS TO "POLICE NEGOTIATOR HOWARDS STATE" "NO MEDICAL REPORTS" TO THE COURTS!

¹¹ Melbourne Magistrates Court Evidence March 2013.

²¹ Supreme Court of Victoria from dates of 28th -30th May 2014. Before His Honour Justice T. Forrest

²¹ Supreme Court of Victoria from dates of 28th -30th May 2014. Before His Honour Justice T. Forrest

HIS CONCERNS TO THE IMPACT, **YET OMMITTED TRUE EXTENT OF "REAL IMPACT IT HAD ON ME!"**

Mr Holt: Page 146L8-9: **And the point of exit was not of his choosing, he was gassed out.**

His Honour: Page146L10. Yes.

Mr Holt: Page 146L11-13: Using significant – and again, no criticism, **but significant chemical munitions**, which caused substantial damage to the property,²¹.

"HE WAS GASSED OUT." QUOTE,

BUT SIGNIFICANT "CHEMICAL MUNITIONS" .QUOTE .

YOU CAN SEE AND HEAR THE EXPLOSIONS, AND HE IS "CONCERNED OF IMPACT IT HAD ON ME".

"CS"TEAR GAS, MAKES A HISSING SOUND, NOT "EXPLODING" AT ALL!

CONCEALS "EXPLOSIONS EXPOSURE", "IGNITING FLASHBACKS TRIGGERING P.T.S.D"

Mr Holt: Page 146L13-17: **As Tour Honour seen through the photographs, burning of rooms and so on. Again, no criticism, but that's the reality of how he came out of the property, was a result of that.**

His Honour: Page146L18. Yes.²¹

"BUT THAT'S' THE REALITY OF HOW HE CAME OUT OF THE PROPERTY, WAS A RESULT OF THAT."

QUOTE. I WAS **"LITERALLY IN A SHELL SHOCKED STATE"**, BY **"ALL THE FLASH BANGS USED!"**

I HAD BEEN EXPOSED **TO NO LESS THAN (11X) FLASH BANGS WHICH WE ALL CAN HEAR EXPLODING**

EITHER INSIDE THE HOUSE OR OUTSIDE IT! THERE ARE (4X) CONFIRMED RING PULLS RECOVERED FROM THE OUTSIDE PERIMETER PERIPHERAL (ONLY), NOT INSIDE!

THE OTHER GOOD (6X) YOU CAN HEAR AND SEE EXPLODE, ARE NEVER REGISTERED AT ALL.

THE REALITY OF IT IS. – "IT IS PERJURY EVIDENCE AND CRIMINAL!!!"

Mr Holt: Page 146L19-20: **He lasted a remarkably long time within the property after those chemical munitions were deployed.²¹**

AFTER THOSE CHEMICAL MUNITIONS WERE DEPLOYED. QUOTE! NO REFERANCE TO ANY OF THE

"FLASH BENGs WHICH WE CAN ALL SEE EXPLODE INSIDE HOUSE, MUSHROOM PLUMES!"

Mr Holt: Page 146L28- page 147L1: , and that he was exiting not by virtue of- not as a decision to exit, but essentially – and again no criticism, **but gassed out onto the street with the level of disturbance that would have been involved.²¹**

"BUT GASSED OUT ONTO THE STREET WITH THE LEVEL OF DISTURBANCE THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN INVOLVED". QUOTE. AM I SERIOUSLY READING THIS RIGHT, SERIOUSLY!!!!

"CONCEALS EXPLOSIONS EXPOSURE" .

PLAYING A SIGNIFICANT ROLE AND THE ROOT OF MY CURRENT P.T.S.D CONDITION.

NOW IT GETS BETTER, **SAUL DELIBERATELY ENGAGES IN PURE DECEPTION OF EVIDENCE!**

THE CROWN DID NOT PLAY ANYWHERE NEAR OF THE TRUE EXTENT OF FLASH BANGS AT ALL, CUT AND PASTED, NOW SAUL GOES ONE BETTER, TO "COVER UP FOR THE CROWN!" PROSECUTOR,

²¹ Supreme Court of Victoria from dates of 28th -30th May 2014. Before His Honour Justice T. Forrest

²¹ Supreme Court of Victoria from dates of 28th -30th May 2014. Before His Honour Justice T. Forrest

²¹ Supreme Court of Victoria from dates of 28th -30th May 2014. Before His Honour Justice T. Forrest

²¹ Supreme Court of Victoria from dates of 28th -30th May 2014. Before His Honour Justice T. Forrest

YET MORE OBSCENE, "TAMPERING WITH EVIDENCE AND FACTS!"

Mr Holt: Page 147L24-28: **We weren't aware at that time we put it together of the intention to play matters that had been played yesterday. I will not be repeating any portion. Some do** I will simply indicate as we go along what is to be played.²¹

NEVER PLAYED THE FOOTAGE CROWN OMMITTED, "BUT HAD CONTROLLED VIEWING!"

Mr Holt: Page 154L9-19: The final matter – the second last matter **we wish to draw to draw to Your Honour's attention to in relation to the siege was that at 5.50 p.m. on the 22/05, this is set out in the chronology that's been provided**, the police – and again, I make no comment on the tactics behind it, **but fired a set of what are called flashbang** Or concussion grenades into the house, **so this was approximately an hour and a half before Loretta Collier was removed** and again this is played in order to assist the court with the external stimuli that was operating on Mr Binse at the time during the siege.²¹

"WE WISH TO DRAW TO YOUR HONOUR'S ATTENTION TO IN RELATION TO THE SIEGE" QUOTE. DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO! "DIVERTING FACTS", BURYING "TRUE EVENTS IN FACT!"

"THAT AT 5.50 P.M. ON THE 22/5, THIS IS SET OUT IN THE CHRONOLOGY THAT'S BEEN PROVIDED" QUOTE. YES. CHRONLOGY OF SIEGE EXHIBIT #C.

A "FORGED SPURIOUS ACCOUNTS, AT ODDS WITH THE REAL TRUTH OF WHAT HAD TAKEN PLACE!" SAUL HOLT "SUBSTITUTED THE REAL FACTS AND EVIDENCE WITH CORRUPT DOCUMENT" IN-LEU OF.

FIRST VOLLEY 6.16.53. P.M. /6.16.55 P.M./6.17.01.P.M./AND 6.17.09.P.M. THE 2ND VOLLEY "6.24.29.P.M/ 6.26.33.P.M."

THERE ARE (6X) NOISE AND BRIGHT EXPLOSIONS DURING THIS PERIOD. "YOU CAN'T MISS AT ALL!"

JUST AS WHAT HAD TAKEN PLACE WITH "ALL MY MEDICAL REPORTINGS OF P.T.S.D. CONDITION". "SUPPLANTED" WITH A "MALICIOUS FALSE, CORRUPT" DR DANNY SULLIVANS 19TH JANUARY 2014 REPORT. THIS IS "NO ACCIDENT EITHER", BUT "PREMEDITATED ACTS!"

THIS IS SAME MOTIVE OF OPERANDI. "MO". AND IS A "DELIBERATE ACT OF CRIMINAL BEHAVIOUR", NOT AN ISOLATED INCIDENT, NOR "INCOMPETENCE FOR THAT MATTER AT ALL".

SAUL, A "Q.C" WAS "DIRECTING HIS HONOUR" ON ALL ASPECTS OF LAW, CASE AND DIRECTION!

"BUT FIRED A SET OF WHAT ARE CALLED FLASHBANG OR CONCUSSION GRENADES INTO THE HOUSE" QUOTE. FIRED A "SET" A SET MEANS TWO!

WE HAVE ATLEAST (4X) SPENT RING PULLS RECOVERED, HE READ PRINGLES EVIDENCE, KNEW THIS TO BE (4X) YET HALFS THAT FIGURE TO REDUCE THE EXTENT OF EXPOSURE TO FLASH BANGS DEPLOYED, LETS NOT OVERLOOK THE SOUNDS AND VISIONS OF THEM BEING DEPLOYED ON NO LESS THEN (4X) SEPARATE OCCASIONS, NOT JUST AT 5.50PM. YOU "SEE THEM AGAIN".

WITH ATLEAST (5X) MORE AT 2.09.58 A.M/20.10.00 A.M/2.10.15.A.M/2.12.47.A.M. AND 2.13.13 A.M.

WHAT ABOUT ALL THE THESE, FROM 2.09.58.AM. WE "CAN SEE AND HEAR EXPLODE", "MUSHROOMING OUT FROM THE WINDOWS INTO THER DARK NIGHT!"

²¹ Supreme Court of Victoria from dates of 28th -30th May 2014. Before His Honour Justice T. Forrest

²¹ Supreme Court of Victoria from dates of 28th -30th May 2014. Before His Honour Justice T. Forrest

"AGAIN THIS IS PLAYED IN ORDER TO ASSIST THE COURT WITH THE EXTRA STIMULI THAT WAS HAPPENING ON MR BINSE AT THE TIME DURING THE SIEGE" QUOTE.

THIS IS PLAYED IN ORDER TO ASSIST THE COURT WITH THE EXTRA STIMULI THAT WAS HAPPENING ON MR BINSE AT THE TIME DURING THE SIEGE" SAUL HOLT DELIBERATELY HIDES TRUE EXTENT OF EXPLOSIONS "TO ASSIST THE COURTS WITH EXTRA STIMULI".

HE IS DELIBERATELY DEPRIVING THE COURTS OF TRUE FACTS AND EVIDENCE.

THIS "CRIMINAL CONDUCT" COMING FROM THE "DEFENCE TO DEPRIVE OF ME OF MITIGATION!"

LET'S NOT OVERLOOK "CONCERTED EFFORTS IN DECEPTION CONCEALING MY P.T.S.D CONDITIONS!"

ALL "REPORTINGS OF IT", RELATING TO "BANKSIA INCIDENT AND THE SIEGE" ALL BURIED BY HIM!

COMING FROM DEFENCE ADVOCATE! "HE AINT WORKING FOR ME". BUT "STILL THE CROWN!!!"

Mr Holt: Page 154L19-20: .Thank you, if we could play flashbang grenades.

Mr Holt: Page 154L21: (Tape played to the court.)

Mr Holt: Page 154L22-27: And while there was some doubt at the committal hearing, in aspects of the committal hearing, as to whether flashbangs were deployed, they clearly were, **and Mr Pringle, the armourer, located some items consistent with flashbang grenades in the search of the property.**²¹ .

HE KNEW PRINGLES EVIDENCE, KNEW IT TO BE (4X) YET PROVIDES FALSE CORRUPT EVIDENCE OF IT.

Mr Holt: Page 154L28-31: we won't replay anything that the Crown played yesterday but chemical munitions are essentially teargas that was deployed.

Mr Holt: Page 155L5-6: .**It appears there were 17 munitions fired, it appears**

Mr Holt: Page 155L7: (Tape played to court.)

Mr Holt: Page 155L11- 15: AS I say, Your Honour has already seen the audio of the conclusion to the siege and the opening made very clear that Mr Binse, **notwithstanding the state that he must have been in that property for that period of five to eight minutes with teargas,**²¹ .

"IT APPEARS THERE WERE 17 MUNITIONS FIRED, IT APPEARS" QUOTE. "WITH TEARGAS!"

THE CS GAS= TEAR GAS CANNISTERS ARE FIRED LIKE A ROCKET LAUNCHER IS, YOU CAN HEAR THE WHIZZING SOUND AS THEY ARE DEPLOYED, SHHHHH, SHHHH, SHHH, SHHHHH, SHHHHH,SHHHHH.

THE FLASHBANGS ARE HAND HELD GRENADES, REMOVING A PIN, "THROWN IN METHOD" YOU CANNOT CONFUSE THE TWO AT ALL, ONE HISSES FROM GAS FUMES EXPELLED. THE OTHER. BLOWS UP, EMMITTING EXPLOSIONS A LOUND BANG AND FLASHES IN PROCESS.

WITH (5X) USED AT 2.09.58 A.M /20.10.00 A.M/2.10.15.A.M/2.12.47.A.M. AND 2.13.13 A.M.

YES THERE WERE (17) MUNITIONS DEPLOYED AT "TAIL END OF SIEGE" FROM 2 P.M. 23/5 2012. JUST "IN THE PERIOD ALONE", THIS WAS A "COMBINATION OF BOTH MUNITIONS USED!"

Mr Holt: Page 155L31- page 156 L1: Thank you, I should produce the – I seek to tender the defence CD compilation of the siege footage.

²¹ Supreme Court of Victoria from dates of 28th -30th May 2014. Before His Honour Justice T. Forrest

²¹ Supreme Court of Victoria from dates of 28th -30th May 2014. Before His Honour Justice T. Forrest

Mr Holt: Page 156L3: # EXHIBIT C. - Defence CD compilation of siege footage.

21

WHAT IS BEYOND COMPREHENSION, I AM UNABLE TO FATHOM, AND HOW ON EARTH IT IS POSSIBLE TO NOT SEE THE (11) EXPLOSIONS AND HEAR THEM, AND CONFUSE THEM WITH "TEARGAS CANNISTER MUNITIONS", WHICH EXPEL GAS!

THIS IS A DELIBERATE FALSIFICATION OF FACTS A DELIBERATE INTENT TO MISLEAD!

SAUL HOLT, Q, C. ACTS AMOUNT TO "NOTHING SHORT OF VILE CRIMINAL INTENTIONS TO PERJURE" AND ACTIVELY ENGAGE IN ACTS DESIGNED TO "TO PERVERT THE COURSE OF NATURAL JUSTICE"!

AGAIN CONCEALED "NOT REVEALED" IN SAUL HOLTS SEIGE CHRONOLOGY EITHER!!!"

Page 141 L11 # EXHIBIT B. – Chronology of events at the siege.²¹

The esteemed defence Legal Counsel false submissions, deliberate and wilfully misleading the Courts, not only in oral, but in a self-generated document **now introduced as factual evidence**, never examined, let alone tested for its genuine truthfulness and its veracity period. **"How obscene that this practice is able to occur!!"**

NOW READ THE FOLLOWING EVIDENCE AND "HIS HONOURS RESPONSE TO THE FACTS":

Page 112 Line 18- Page 116 Line 23.

MR PECOTIC: Okay, all right, good. All right. So, here we go, this is where it starts, the interesting part. Page 104, line 21 to 20 - and the impact - and the impact that it is likely to have had on Mr Binse. A hundred-page, Mr Holt, 100 - sorry, p.146, line 8 to 9: "And the point of exit was not of his choosing. He was gassed out." Okay. Okay. So, Your Honour answered at 146, line 10: "Yes." Mr Holt, 146, line 10 to 13, "Using significant" - and again no criticism, but "significant chemical munitions which caused considerable damage to the property." Doesn't allude to any flash bangs, just chemical, solely identified chemical munitions alone. Okay? As Your Honour has seen on p.146, line 13 to 17, Mr Holt: "As Your Honour has seen through the photographs, burnings of rooms and so on, again, no criticism, that was the reality of how he came out of the property, was a result of that." Your Honour again answered at 146, line 18: "Yes." Mr Holt, 146, line 19 to 20: "He lasted a remarkably long time within the property after those chemical munitions were deployed." Okay. And again Mr Holt, 146, line 28, and at p.147, line 1: "And that he was exiting not by virtue of - not as a decision to exit, but essentially, again no criticism, but gassed out." I say emphasised, "Gassed out onto the street with the level of disturbance that would have been involved. The level of disturbance." So, the crucial element that he overlooks and leaves out, okay, is the flash bang grenades. Not the gas. The gas I can deal with, but the flash bangs, they were - they were the stuff that done the damage. Okay. Now, here we go. Mr Holt, p.147, line 24 to 26: "We weren't aware at the time we put it together of the intention to play the matters that had been played yesterday." Okay. Mr Holt, 147, line 126: "I will not be repeating any portion, some do, what the Crown has already played." He didn't even play any of this stuff, man. You know what I mean? He did not show that - revealed

²¹ Supreme Court of Victoria from dates of 28th -30th May 2014. Before His Honour Justice T. Forrest

²¹ Supreme Court of Victoria from dates of 28th -30th May 2014. Before His Honour Justice T. Forrest

*to the courts, revealed to you or whatever; he just suggested - he's covered what actually took place, the true extent of the exposure that I was subjected to, you know? At the end of the day, the fault lies with me, all right, for being obdurate and not surrendering, because I was scared I was going to be shot at one point in time. I was scared shitless. Loretta will say that. It is in the documents, the post-traumatic stress, the second one Mr Malouf handed up. The evidence is there. We were all shitting ourselves, man. We were going through - and some of the stuff we were doing, it goes into full detail, and my correspondence with the negotiator, how I was concerned, and how I reacted, and I thought - I perceived - when them flashbangs were coming - lobbing in, I didn't know what they were at first. I thought they were grenades. Things were blowing up around me, this and that. She (indistinct) yelling and screaming. I (indistinct) started opening up at the police in retaliation, like, survival instinct. If I wanted to shoot the police, all right, I would have done so, without - by being provoked, caused me to react in the manner I did. I knew they were at that location, at the (indistinct) but only because the flashbangs exposure caused me, she says that. Now, **what I want to go back to - so I was deprived of the true extent and opportunity that (indistinct) Your Honour.***

HIS HONOUR: Look, it is ten past 4, and we are going to pull up stumps in a Moment. As I have tried to say to you, this cannot be a rerun of matters that you think Ought to have been put to me on a previous occasion. **You appealed to the Court of Appeal, it did no good,** and we're here, hearing a new case. **I will allow you to, for Instance, refer me to the fact that flash grenades were used, because if that's Relevant to your current mental state, that's a new fact - - -**

MR PECOTIC: *It is, it is.*

HER HONOUR: - - - that I don't know.

MR PECOTIC: *It is.*

HIS HONOUR: Do not, please, spend time analysing the competence or Otherwise of the representation in the first trial, I the first plea hearing. That is Not what we are here for.

ACCUSED: *I understand this, and I - I understand that, Your Honour. I really do, All right, and - but the thing is, he says - I was only - this is the point I get to; **he says I was exposed to two ring-pull flashbangs, a set, all right? It is going to be - it Won't be on that, Your Honour.***

HIS HONOUR: You have made your point, that flash hand grenades were Used - - -

ACCUSED: Yes.

HIS HONOUR: - - - as opposed to chemical munitions, which - - -

ACCUSED: Yes, and you count them. I ask Your Honour to view the footage, the CCTV, and you make your own judgment and calls. You count them, you do the Math. You will see what the extent and that - you'll see how they - how I reacted, all Right, and have it replayed. I am saying to Your Honour, this is - I want to try and Demonstrate that - the whole point I was getting to, Sol says there was two, a set. It is not a set, man. It goes into double figures. That greatly compounds the Condition; fivefold, really, at the end of the day. The level of exposure - I was Literally a mess. I have not been able to recover from that. There is recordings of That since then. I suffered on the day of the sentencing. I broke down before You, Your Honour, and you say this is not an appeal. It is not an appeal.

Absolutely it is not an appeal, but you know what, Your Honour, it needs to be said. It needs be aired, it needs to come out. It cannot remain hidden, as it has. It Has to rise to the surface, it really does. You say that it was raised in the appeal. My counsel did not even read the stuff. He was not aware of the stuff. He was Not fully briefed properly, and I spat the dummy. I halted the proceedings, Because I had to - and did he challenge Danny Sutherland's testimony or Evidence or anything? Nothing. Everything was slowed through. Seriously, Nothing - I had an appeal.

HIS HONOUR: I understand that - - -

ACCUSED: I had an appeal, Your Honour, but nothing was raised.

HIS HONOUR: Mr Pecotic - - -

ACCUSED: These issues were not raised.

HIS HONOUR: Just hang on. I understand your frustration. I understand the Irritation that you articulate, but I'm not here for that, and I can't allow these Proceedings to descend into that, and I won't.

ACCUSED: All right. ³².

³² SUPREME COURT OF VICTORIA EVIDENCE 24^H MARCH 2017.

BIBLIOGRAPHY:

¹¹ Melbourne Magistrates Court Evidence March 2013.

¹⁸ Prison medical rep

³² SUPREME COURT OF VICTORIA EVIDENCE 24th MARCH 2017.