

THE CONDUCT OF THE DEFENCE, IN IT'S "TRUE PROPER FUNCTION", IS TO "MODERATE".

NOT INFLAME, AGRAVATE NOR DEPRIVE CLIENT JUSTICE, LET ALONE "UNDERMINE, CONCEAL FACTS AND EVIDENCE IN MITIGATION". TO ENGAGE IN "PERJURY AND TO PERVERT THE COURSE OF NATURAL JUSTICE" IS HEINOUSLY OBSCENE, REPUGNANT AND OPPUGN. NOT TO MENTION "IS CRIMINAL CONDUCT!" QUOTE!

SAUL HOLT:

I first came into contact with this Q.C. **JUDAS** Barrister.

When he was called to provide me with legal representation through Legal aid Victoria.

In a matter. That "I am unable to reveal", it's all too secret.

A secret hearing, to compel me to disclose matters against others. As I had told the examiner. **This was an interrogation chamber on steroids! More than once this was uttered by me.**

Even then, Saul was encouraging me to reveal things to the hearing. I should have smelled a rat then, he was smooth in his commitment to me. "Had my best interests at heart he said."

So those legal proceedings caused me to come into contact with Saul Holt. Who was at one stage a Crown prosecutor back in Palmerston North, New Zealand, where he had excelled.

I was not to learn his history, till way too late in my matters, to pull him out from any role in it. Anyway the thing that struck a chord with me, was him telling me. That his role was to see I get as less time as possible, he would reduce the sentence, as best he could. **YEAHH RITE!**

Yeah he went out of his way to ensure the likes of Jill Meagher's killer's Adrian Ernest Bailey got time off!!

And in case of Brisbane's Tinder date "Toste".

The lady who was compelled to jump off balcony, both sex cases with murder elements themes involved, both of victims were left dead as a result. **These types he defends!**

Yet in my case, he deliberately went out to see. That "I had received extra time".

As the reader will arrive at this very same conclusion, after reading all evidence.

These are Saul Holts very own words and actions.

You decide whether he is a **JUDAS** counsel or not?

Defence Counsel had indeed deliberately "with intent" misled the Court Perjured himself and obstructed Justice "his false evidence is found to be unsafe & unreliable!"

Incompetence ground is antiquated, In the Macquarie dictionary "Incompetence" is described as follows. - "Lacking the necessary skill (or) ability",

This is not coming From **Saul Holt the Youngest Victorian Member of the bar to Cross over to a Q.C. He advised His Honour Justice Terry Forrest on Law. Directing outcome.**

The Law on **Incompetence seriously needs further examinations on and ought be seriously Reviewed and addressed.**

There is no better case to amplify this, **"then that of the appellants"**.

Given there is law in place for such conduct for witnesses. Police and Crown who engage in deliberate false testimony no such law in place **applicable to applicants Defence Counsel.**

Whom deliberately wilfully actively engage in acts of deceit with intent detrimental to client, exploit this loophole of Incompetence, with no concern for consequence (or) accountability at all, this is **deeply flawed in law to say the very least.**

In replying to His Honour Mr Justice Whelan's remarks "this is no Royal Commission"

With utmost due respect to His Honour, (if) it weren't for actions of Defence counsel the accused would not be before Your Honours (x3) in this current capacity as an Appellant.

Applicant's Legal right to rebuttal in commercial calumny by defence counsel Saul Holt. Q.C

That I seek to reveal, expose and leave no stone un-turned to prove and demonstrate **all facts.**

Given applicant clinically diagnosed as "obsessive compulsive dis-order". I will indeed!

That all I ask is for, a fair and honest go, **to allow truth and facts to rise from all lies told!**

In being allowed to expose the abominable malicious false presentation **I steadfastly demur.**

Seriously aggravating accused **offending behaviour, conduct. Remorse and guilty pleas.**

Once stripped of all the deceit, there is a complete new configuration and landscape of the facts. **Warranting a serious moderation on all terms imposed, given they were inflamed by deceit.**

Page 185 L29 – 186 L1 Mr Holt: As we alluded to earlier in the day, there was a period required after the prisoner had been unrepresented at his committal of trust –building with his legal representatives.²¹

I DID NOT TRUST HIM THAN, **"MORE SO NOW!" HE HAD ME PLEA TO KEILOR PARK DRIVE SHOTS FIRED. Indictment 2 (C11434997.4) Charge (3).**

IN HAVING THE SHOTS "ROLLED UP", YET. IS A "FALSE CONVICTION" INNOCENT! JUST AS HE HAD PRESENTED ME ON THE ARMED ROBBERY, ALSO KNOWING IT "TO BE FALSE!"

SUBSTITUTING ALL FACTS WITH UTTER PURE DECIET "TO INFLAME AND AGGRAVATE!"

JUSTICE PREVAILS, NOT QUITE JUST YET!

THE LAW AND RE-ADJUDICATION OF MY CASE ARE STILL OUT. WILL JUSTICE BE SERVED?

²¹ Supreme Court of Victoria from dates of 28th -30th May 2014. Before His Honour Justice T. Forrest