

State of Victoria

Affidavit

I, Christopher Dean Pecotic. A.k.a. "Binse". 43517.
Of, Olearia unit, Barwon Prison, Lara 3212. Victoria.
Make oath and say:-

I WRITE AND DECLARE THAT THE FOLLOWING IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE ACCOUNT OF EVENTS, THAT SHOULD IT BE FALSE, I AM LIABLE TO PERJURY

The following issues of contention, are grave and of utmost concern to the proper administration of Justice and in holding and preserving the integrity of the Courts.

With respect, I wish to appraise you of the following grave matters before I proceed to undertake next step in lodging a complaint with The **Judicial Commission** and with **I.B.A.C** to investigate these concerns further. An overview summary of complaint in detail provided:

The Plaintiff would write to His Honour Justice Saccardo on **27th march 2018.**⁰⁰⁰³¹

Page (1).
27th/March/2018

*Chris .D. P Binse 043517
C/o-. Olearia Unit, Unit (3).
Barwon Prison. Locked Bag 7,
Lara, 3212. Vic.*

His Honour Justice Saccardo.

Re. - County Court Directions hearing 22nd March 2018. C1-11-00426.

*In demonstrating that I do indeed suffer a. "Chronic P.T.S.D. condition".
Not just a mere 10% as incorrectly gauged by the medical panel board's assessment.
Performed by Dr Carol Newlands & Dr Michael Epstein both psychiatrists examined on the
17th April 2012, report dated the 10th May 2012. Medical Panel ref No: M111/3600.*

Page (2).

*And be granted and afforded the right to have examinations done in normal conditions really.
Given that my overall state of mind and psychological damages is at very core of litigation.*

⁰⁰⁰³¹

Which Plaintiff had sought to challenge, found on page (11).

The Panel therefore concluded that, after disregarding impairment, which has arisen secondary to the physical injury and from unrelated injuries or causes, the degree of psychiatric impairment resulting from the psychiatric injury to the Claimant as alleged in the claim is not more than 10% and is permanent.

387

⁰⁰⁰³¹ Judge Saccardo submissions 27th March 2018.

⁰⁰⁰³¹ Judge Saccardo submissions 27th March 2018.

³⁸⁷ DR CAROL NEWLANDS, DR MICHAEL EPSTEIN MEDICAL PANEL REPORT DATED 10 MAY 2013.

C.D.P.B

1

That the Plaintiff was not familiar with the gauging of percentage relied upon in the medical Panels findings report of 10th May 2013. Wrongly assuming it was only a mere 10% in total, not **“based on legal qualifications set in meeting a threshold”**.

This concern and issue was challenged numerous times during proceedings with His Honour and exchanges with the Defendant Ms Debra Coombs in **C1-11-00426**.

The plaintiff would then seek and rely upon law on **Wrongs Act** and other authorities relevant to Plaintiffs case and legal position, in order to assess and appreciate his true qualified legal position, given his lack of legal understanding in this area, was not his forte but both the Judges & defendants, who **were directing and dictating otherwise**.

The Plaintiff wrote to: **Ms Courtney Ryrie. The Self Represented Litigant Coordinator**. On 14th/April/2018 was seeking law on “28LB of the Wrongs Act 1958”.

14th/April/2018.

Attention: Ms Courtney Ryrie. The Self Represented Litigant Coordinator.

*C/o- Common Law Division- Self Represented Litigation.
County Court of Victoria. Melbourne 3000. Dx 290078.*

*Reference Number: PNCLD 5-2016
Case heard before His Honour Justice Saccardo. County Court of Victoria. Melbourne*

5/. 28LB of the Wrongs Act 1958.⁰⁰⁰²

The Information provided was **“false, not honest” the law changed in relation to 28LB of Wrongs Act 1958.**⁰³⁰² In **“10% and more threshold”** 5 years ago in 2013.

That ⁰³⁰² Is contrary to law & at odds with Plaintiffs legal position thus **“negating it”**.

And on **1st/May/2018**, Plaintiff wrote seeking law on **28LB of the Wrongs Act 1958**.

1st/May/2018.

Attention: Ms Candice Jackson. The Self Represented Litigant Coordinator.

*C/o- Common Law Division- Self Represented Litigation.
County Court of Victoria. Melbourne 3000. Dx 290078.*

Reference Number: PNCLD 5-2016

Case heard before His Honour Justice Saccardo. County Court of Victoria. Melbourne

Re. - County Court Directions hearing 22nd March 2018. C1-11-00426.

Ms Courtney Ryrie,

⁰⁰⁰² Ms Courtney Ryrie Self Represented Litigant Coordinator legal request dated 14th April 2018.

⁰³⁰² 28LB. Of Wrongs Act 1958.

⁰³⁰² 28LB. Of Wrongs Act 1958.

COPB

2

Thanking you much for your assistance provided in providing the legal authorities in hard cover format, what I had unfortunately overlooked, is to have them in soft copy.

Burnt and copied on a disc. I am sorry for the trouble I have caused in the process.

I will refer to the cases/information previously sought:

5/. 28LB of the Wrongs Act 1958.⁰⁰⁰³

Plaintiff did not get a reply to this request for assistance in getting a soft copy of law.

The Plaintiff was repeatedly directed he “had no legal qualifications to pursue psychiatric damages in C1-11-00426” against state “not meeting threshold of 28LB in Wrongs Act 1958”

Causing Plaintiff to challenge this position on numerous occasions verbally & in writing, and had indicated considering Supreme Court action in the form of a Judicial review in challenging the Medical Boards determination finding. Performed by Dr Carol Newlands & Dr Michael Epstein both psychiatrists examined on 17th April 2012, report dated 10th May 2012. **Medical Panel ref No: M111/3600.**

That this is clearly reflected in correspondence from Plaintiff dated **10th May 2018**, to His Honour Justice Saccardo. County Court of Victoria. Melbourne.

In the utmost firm belief His Honour was acting appropriate and legitimate to Plaintiff

10th May/2018.

To His Honour Justice Saccardo. County Court of Victoria. Melbourne.

Re. - County Court Directions hearing 9th May 2018. C1-11-00426.

First & foremost, I wish to commend you on fair procedural judicial provision to me.

I am not bush lawyer, nor pretend to be, just a layman in this imposing legal minefield

I live by the motto: “If you want something done, you must do it yourself” so I run it.

This is evident in current proceedings, when full of trepidation & up against adversity.

I was deadest intent on seeking leave to the Supreme Court in having the medical panels determination quashed, had identified legal authority on matter to support me in this pursuit, which I had selected certain passages in decision, which I felt I could amend and apply to my very own circumstances. And was convinced of this position.

(00040)

⁰⁰⁰³ Ms Candice Jackson Self Represented Litigant Coordinator Mail request dated 1st May 2018.

⁰⁰⁰⁴⁰ . Judge Saccardo re. - 10th May 2018 mail.

C.D.P.B

B

Which was abandoned by Plaintiff given the serious complexities in launching this. And also in the trepidation of lack of understanding in tackling such huge challenges. There were a number of instances where His Honour Saccardo had **robustly instructed the Plaintiff he had "no legal position to actively pursue psychiatric damages in Plaintiffs case"**, this had followed proceedings of 29th May 2018 C1-11-00426. In where the Video link had expired during the course of case.

Some 20 minutes had lapsed with **critical discussions ongoing in absence of Plaintiff**.

This is not an isolated instance where discussions continued in **"absence of Plaintiff"**.

This had already taken place previously leading up to the 29th May 2018 hearing.

This concern is well referred to in correspondence to His Honour dated 6th June 2018.

26/06/2018

UNREGISTERED MAIL (P. 435)
SACCARDO, JUSTICE (3)
11/11/18 (1921321) VIC

To His Honour Justice Saccardo - County Court of Victoria
RE: C1-11-00426

Sir,

I RECEIVED THE MAIL FROM THE COURT DATED 24th MAY 2018
AS THE 4th JUNE 2018 THIS IS VERIFIED BY DATE OF STAMP
RECEIVED BY THE PERSON ON REAR OF ENVELOPE IS 24

I WILL GO THROUGH THE RECORDS AS DIRECTED BY YOU IN ORDER?

I ACTUALLY AM BEING TROUBLED BY THE DISCUSSIONS HELD
BY YOU AND THE DEFENDANT IN MY ABSENCE FOR A GOOD
15-20 MINS Whilst VIDEO LINK WAS RESTORED.

I RESPECTFULLY REQUEST THAT YOU STOP REWIND NOT AT
TRIAL PROCEDURES BUT FROM MAKING ANY FURTHER POINT IN
THE CURRENT PROCEEDINGS, I AM NOT CONFIDENT IN YOUR
IMPARTIALITY AND WHAT TOOK PLACE IN MY ABSENCE SURELY
AND ASK THAT YOU DISQUALIFY YOURSELF FORTHWITH PLEASE
IN SHORT, DISCUSSIONS TOOK PLACE AT LENGTH IN MY ABSENCE
IT MAY BE ALL INOCENT, BUT IT IS Tainted BY PARANZIA.

00046

Plaintiff was again “**now be directed he had no legal rights nor qualification**” in pursuing psychiatric injuries/damages in **C1-11-00426. By His Honour Saccardo in writing.**

The entire Plaintiff case is based & founded on psychiatric & psychological impact & toll it’s had on plaintiff, thus denying Plaintiff the pivotal key facts & evidence of case.

“Significantly diluting key critical crucial facts & elements” relied upon by Plaintiff.

Not just verbally directed during the course of proceedings but also in writing too.

Judge: His Honour Judge Saccardo
Date Of Order : 17 July 2018
Appearances
Plaintiff : In person via Video Link from Barwon Prison
Defendant : Ms Coombs (VGSO)

OTHER MATTERS:

- A. The plaintiff provided the Court with an excerpt of the document he has been preparing as his witness statement and confirmed he was unable to complete the document by 10 July 2018 in accordance with the orders of His Honour Judge Saccardo dated 13 June 2018
- B. The excerpt provided by the plaintiff included:
 - i. Pleadings.
 - ii. Submissions, and
 - iii. References to psychiatric and/or psychological injury.
- C. At this Directions Hearing, it was explained to the plaintiff that the operation of the Medical Panel determination in this proceeding disentitles the plaintiff to any claim for damages for pain and suffering with respect to any psychiatric/psychological injury

ORDERS:

1. This Directions Hearing is adjourned to 23 August 2018 at 9.15am.
2. The Plaintiff is to appear at the Directions Hearing on 23 August 2018 via Video Link.

00050

The Plaintiff would have a “**verbal exchange**” with Defendant on 23rd August 2018, in relation to issue, **demonstrating the perceived ill directions made by His Honour.**

That the Plaintiff would then undertake a covert application for a Judicial review in challenging the Medical Boards determination finding. Performed by Dr Carol Newlands & Dr Michael Epstein both psychiatrists examined on 17th April 2012, report dated 10th May 2012. **Medical Panel ref No: M111/3600.**

Primary due to directions made, by His Honour Justice Saccardo, that the Plaintiff “**did not qualify and meet the threshold set in 28LB in Wrongs Act 1958**”.

In psychiatric & psychological damages pivotal to whole foundation sought in **C1-11-00426**.

Plaintiff would then have Affidavit signed and witnessed 22nd July 2018.⁽⁰⁰⁹⁾

This application addressed to Supreme Court unrepresented Litigant Mr Shane Draper

3rd September/2018.

Attention Mr Shane Draper: Self-represented Litigant Coordinator.
C/o- Supreme Court Prothonotary Office
Level 2. 436 Lonsdale Street. Melbourne VIC 3000.

Sir,

I am the Plaintiff in current live civil litigation against the Office of Corrections Victoria. Before His Honour Justice Saccardo. County Court of Victoria. Melbourne.

.Re. - County Court Directions hearing 23rd August 2018. C1-11-00426.

That has been adjourned and directions to provide Plaintiff's statement by 20th September 2018 some mere (3) weeks away.

I was granted permission by His Honour to make contact with you & your dept,

In respect to exploring the chances of a belated appeal and challenge of the Medical Boards determinations findings in my matters. In his 9th May 2018 directions hearing.

Performed by Dr Carol Newlands & Dr Michael Epstein both psychiatrists examined on 17th April 2012, report dated 10th May 2012. Medical Panel ref No: M111/3600.

I had initially dismissed His Honours invitation to seek leave to challenge the medical panel's determination, for two reasons.

Firstly: being the mistaken firm belief, that my under diagnosed P.T.S.D. met the threshold, and therefore still allowed me to qualify for psychological compensation, I am not familiar with this process at all, and was confused, and is quite different to criminal law that I have had some self-representation experience in. My mind is scattered at the best of times and takes a little to comprehend fully, that would be from my extreme levels of anxiety and stress exposure, not to mention P.T.S.D. condition also, which is far from stabilised period!⁽⁰⁰⁰⁶⁰⁾

Who would in turn provide the Plaintiff with Information packs and legal guidance on conducting such proceedings.

⁰⁰⁹. Affidavit 22nd July 2018.

⁰⁰⁰⁶⁰. Letter sent to Mr Shane Draper self-represented Litigant Coordinator dated 3rd Sept 2018.

COPB.

6X



10 September 2018

Chris D R Brise
CRN 043517
C/O Ozeana Unit 5
Barwon Prison
Locked Bag 7
Geelong 3218

Dear Sir

Re: your letter dated 3 September 2018

I refer to the above named letter where it is understood that you seek information in relation to the concept of judicial review. For your assistance, enclosed are each of a Judicial Review self-help pack, pro forma form 59 (Originating Motion), pro forma summons for directions and affidavit in support.

The court fee on the filing of an Originating Motion is \$1092.00 and a summons for directions is \$394.50. A fee waiver application is enclosed.

It is recommended that you seek to obtain legal advice or assistance in relation to your legal issue. Enclosed is a document which outlines legal advice/representation options for your consideration.

Regards

S. Draper
Senior Represented Litigants Coordinator
Supreme Court Registry

00061

That the Plaintiff would then present his 330 page statement⁷⁵³, and in challenging the Medical Boards determinations findings in my matters. Performed by Dr Carol Newlands & Dr Michael Epstein both psychiatrists examined on 17th April 2012, report dated 10th May 2012. **Medical Panel ref No: M111/3600.**

⁰⁰⁰⁶¹: Shane Draper Supreme Court Registry reply mail dated 10th Sept 2018.

⁷⁵³: Plaintiff's statement Doc Dated 2nd September 2018.

C.D.P.S.

Which had effectively ignored what was directed during **Directions hearing 23rd August 2018. C1-11-00426**. By His Honour, yet still meeting the 19th September 2018 deadline, the Plaintiff providing a comprehensive submission all contained within a C.D. for his attention.

Affidavit 29th August 2018 (1). (0012). Forming in His Honours original documents.

That correspondence to Mr Shane Draper and Judicial review materials had formed a part of this C.D. bringing it now to the attention of His Honour and the Defendant.

That correspondence to His Honour Saccardo dated 18th Sept 2018 illustrates this.

18th Sept/2018.

To His Honour Justice Saccardo. County Court of Victoria. Melbourne 3000.

Re. - County Court Directions hearing 23rd August 2018. C1-11-00426.

Sir,

I have been busy compiling the Plaintiff's case and position in the above matters.

I have not been lying idle, but feverishly presenting the case, the best I am able to.

This makes it neater, cleaner and easier for all the parties to appreciate my position.

I have also elected to pursue the challenge to Medical Panels determination findings of 10th May 2013.

Which is detrimental and critical to the Plaintiff's position in exhausting this option.

I have enclosed all relevant documentation specific and related to this active exercise.

Found in the following folders:

Shane Draper self- represented Litigant Coordinator Supreme Court folder.

This disc is also found enclosed within titled Binse 30th August 2018 I.T. Burnt Legal scans.

For which was returned to me by Mr Draper, along with the original Affidavit (0013). Affidavit 29th August 2018 (2).

Shane Draper Litigant Coordinator Supreme Court reply folder

And in to whom it may concern folder. ⁰⁰⁰⁵⁴

Additionally updating His Honour Justice Saccardo on all developments as they unfolded on the progress of Supreme Court Judicial Review action undertaken by Plaintiff.

⁰⁰⁰⁵⁴. Judge Saccardo. 18th Sept 2018.

COP3

That Plaintiff would receive mail from Mr Shane Draper of Supreme Court registry dated 25th October 2018.

Supreme Court Registry



25 October 2018

Mr Justice (Dear Rose)
100 R. St. Barwon
Victoria 3207
Australia

Dear Mr

I refer to your letter dated 18 October 2018 and enclosed materials as well as your proposed challenging Medical Panel dated 7 October 2018 which are still in process at the time having regard to the following requirements:

1. In attaching your Affidavit and Exhibits, you are required to attach a copy of your Affidavit.
2. You are required to write your address in the section between the horizontal lines on the front page of the Form 503 and each other court document (see the 'X' marked in pencil for your assistance in relation to these matters).
3. The exhibits to your Affidavit have not been witnessed by a person authorised to take an affidavit or exhibits. This needs to be done before the same authorised person who witnessed your Affidavit.

Please note that in accordance with Rule 166 of the Rules of the Supreme Court 2018 (the Rules) and Page 20 of the timeframe notice a further Review A50 dated 16 October 2018 appears that you are outside of the 90 day timeframe. Special circumstances are required that recommend that you undertake legal assistance to meet the standard and if you are unable to do so complete a further Affidavit.

Regards

S. Draper
Supreme Court Registry

00069

That the Plaintiff had basically redone all paperwork and exhibits relating to Supreme Court Judicial review, had them re witnessed and signed again a 2nd time now.

That the Plaintiff had also written a letter to Mr Barrie Woollacott dated 23rd October 2018⁰⁰⁰⁹⁵. Believing that he was not eligible in seeking psychiatric damages, as had been directed by His Honour Justice Saccardo in seeking legal advice and assistance in discussing position of challenging Medical Panels 10th May 2013 report **in its 10% threshold, and in being qualified to actively pursue psychiatric damages in C1-11-00426.**

⁰⁰⁰⁶⁹. Supreme Court Registry reply 25th October 2018.

⁰⁰⁰⁹⁵. Barrie Woollacott 23rd October 2018.

CORB.

That the Plaintiff would also write to Mr Shane Draper dated **4th November/2018**.
00070

Seeking legal authorities in support of legal arguments in challenging the Medical Boards determination finding. Performed by Dr Carol Newlands & Dr Michael Epstein both psychiatrists examined on 17th April 2012, report dated 10th May 2012. **Medical Panel ref No: M111/3600**.

That the Plaintiff would receive a reply to his legal request from Mr Shane Draper dated 9th November 2018.⁰⁰⁰⁷¹

That on the 12th November 2018, a prison phone call link up was made by Barrie Woollacott from Slater & Gordon solicitors who previously acted for the Plaintiff, this taking place at around 10.10.am, where the Plaintiff had apprised Mr Woollacott of the current position of initiating a Supreme Court Judicial review into the validity of and in challenging the Medical Boards determination finding. Performed by Dr Carol Newlands & Dr Michael Epstein both psychiatrists examined on 17th April 2012, report dated 10th May 2012. **Medical Panel ref No: M111/3600**.

Primarily Due to not meeting 10% threshold set in, 28LB in Wrongs Act 1958".
Directed by His Honour Justice Saccardo in 17th July 2018 **C1-11-00426** directions.
And repeatedly during the course of **C1-11-00426 proceedings**.

Mr Woollacott then advised Plaintiff, I did qualify reading current legislation on matter, which had changed in 2013, which now states 10% or more is accepted.

I asked Mr Woolacott to provide me with a print out of the information, along with the Naik recent judgement held in Supreme Courts in respect to a Judicial review.
I would then receive this Information in the mail which was signed for and entered in the exempt legal mail register on the 15th November 2018 and seek to have them now form a part of my submissions, and relied upon as exhibits illustrating my arguments.

I have also attached the Definition section from the Wrongs Act which confirms the change of impairment threshold for psychological conditions from 10% to 15% or more. This may assist you in your arguments.

Good luck with the case
Yours faithfully,

Barrie Woollacott
National Practice Group Leader
SLATER AND GORDON

00096

00070. Supreme Court Registry reply 4th Nov 2018.
00071. Supreme Court Registry reply 9th Nov 2018.
00096 Barrie Woollacott mail 12th November 2018.

C.P.P.B

WRONGS ACT 1958 - SECT 28LB Definitions

WRONGS ACT 1958 - SECT 28LB

Definitions

In this Part—

A.M.A. Guides means the American Medical Association's Guides to the Evaluation of Permanent Impairment (Fourth Edition) (other than Chapter 15) as modified by or under this Part.

S. 28LB def of approved medical practitioners amended by No. 67/2013 s. 649(Sch. 2 item 36(4)(a)).

"approved medical practitioners" means—

"threshold level" means—

- (a) in the case of injury (other than psychiatric injury or spinal injury), impairment of more than 5 per cent;
- (b) in the case of psychiatric injury, impairment of 10 per cent or more;
- (c) in the case of spinal injury, impairment of 5 per cent or more.

S. 28LB inserted by No. 60/2003 s. 4.

00302

That the need for the ongoing pursuit in the Supreme Court of a Judicial review is no longer required of and **never was to be honest, a complete waste of court time and resources.**

I have abandoned this legal exercise, **given I qualify and have since 2013.**

In meeting the threshold set **Section 28LB of the Wrongs Act 1958. Amendments 2013.**

Which clearly allows Plaintiff to legally pursue "psychiatric & psychological damages of 10% and more" is applicable.

Plaintiff would write to both His Honour Justice Saccardo of the County Court, Melbourne Victoria, dated 15th November 2018 and defendant Ms Debra Coombs dated 22nd November 2018, acting for the office of corrections Victoria.

To date I have had no reply to the contents of each letter assigned them both for the record.

Informing them both of Plaintiffs utter complete disgust in their unlawful criminal conduct and behaviour taking place during **C1-11-00426.**

00302 28LB. Amended 2013.

COPB

Mr Chris .D. P Binse 043517

C/- Olearia unit. Unit (3).

Barwon Prison Locked Bag 7, Lara 3212.Vic

15th November/2018

His Honour Justice Saccardo. County Court of Victoria. Melbourne 3000. DX290078.
Re. - County Court Directions hearing 23rd August 2018. C1-11-00426.

Sir,

The following issues of contention, are grave and of utmost concern to the proper administration of Justice and in holding and preserving the integrity of the Courts.

With the utmost respect, I would like the following points addressed please:

- Is it the duty of the proceeding Judge to be fair, impartial and administer the law honest and legitimate to all before the courts, more so the unrepresented?
- Is it the duty of the Proceeding Judge to administer proper correct lawful directions to the unrepresented?
- Is it lawful to conduct and discuss proceedings related to the Plaintiff in their absence with the Defendant?
- Is it proper to collude with Defendant in the Plaintiffs absence conspiring to direct false corrupt directions against Plaintiffs true legitimate legal position?
- Is it proper to engage in acts with the Defendant in criminal acts of obstruction of Justice to the Plaintiff?
- Is it proper to engage in criminal acts of perverting the course of natural Justice against the Plaintiff?
- Is it proper to engage in criminal acts of conspiracy to pervert the course of natural Justice, with the Defendant "Debra Coombs" against the Plaintiff?
- Is it proper to engage in acts of miss-conduct of public office whilst sitting on the County Court Bench as a Judge in Victoria?
- Did you direct Plaintiff on numerous occasions verbal & in writing he was not entitled nor able to seek psychiatric injury on 10% findings by medical panel?

You may wish to ignore the above questions treating them with contempt, the fact of the matter is: they are presented for a purpose, I am very thorough and circumspect in handling matters as they arise, I will be bringing this to the attention of Chief Judge of County Court informing "Honour" of lodging a formal complaint to I.B.A.C also.

I seek a copy of all proceedings which you conducted relating to C1-11-00426. On disc please, given many discussions held on issue, thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely

Christopher. Dean. Pecotic/ Binse. Inmate 43517. 00058

22nd November/2018

Victorian Government Solicitor's Office.
Level 25/ 121 Exhibition Street. Melbourne 300, Victoria. DX 300077.
Fax 03 8684 0449
Attn: Debra Coombs.
Email: debra.coombs@vgso.vic.gov.au

Re. - County Court Directions hearing 23rd August 2018. C1-11-00426.

Before His Honour Judge Saccardo. County Court of Victoria. Melbourne.

Ms Coombs,

I would like to think you would act & behave in lawful proper legitimate conduct.

And not take advantage of an unrepresented Plaintiff and there lack of access to and appreciation of law authorities, to be honest and legitimate in legal arguments raised.

That the Plaintiff is not familiar with the gauging of percentage relied upon in the medical Panels findings report of 10th May 2013. With a mere 10% in total.

This issue was presented by you, in advancing that the Plaintiff was not qualified to pursue against the defendant in seeking psychiatric damages. In C1-11-00426.

Plaintiff asks you provide current valid law on "28LB of the Wrongs Act 1958".

Thanks' for your assistance provided to the unrepresented. I await your reply ☺.

SINCERELY
INMATE 43517⁰⁰⁰²⁸

I wish to have this serious repugnant totally unprofessional deliberate criminal conduct and actions of both His Honour Justice Judge Saccardo of the County Court, Melbourne Victoria, and defendant Ms Debra Coombs, who represents Office of Corrections Victoria, thoroughly investigated in order to restore proper administration of Justice and integrity of the Courts.

With criminal charges instituted in the "obstruction of justice", "perverting the course of natural Justice" & "miss-conduct of public office", at the very least!

Affirmed at
This 22nd day of NOVEMBER 2018

Signature of deponent making this affidavit
Before me:

Signature of authorised witness

Chris Binie
Operations Manager
R. McNally
H.M.P. Barwon

The authorised witness must print or stamp his or her name, address, and title under section 123c of the *Evidence (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1958* (as of 1 January 2010), (previously *Evidence Act 1958*), (e.g. Justice of the Peace, Current Legal Practitioner, Registrar of the Magistrates Court, Sergeant of Police, Member of the Parliament of Victoria)

⁰⁰⁰²⁸.Debra Coombs. 22nd November 2018.