

Sir, I currently now find myself in a legal Quandary, yes, I had gratefully accepted the assistance of Pro- Bono legal representation in my matters listed above. Yet did not expect nor anticipate it was a stunt and complete ruse to dupe the Courts into a false impression that I would be provided with genuine, honest, legitimate proper legal assistance in the carriage of my case.

This is what I had signed up for, **not have my case scuttled AGAIN by legal defence' advocates. Is this the way those allocated my case play?**

Taking unscrupulous advantage of a mentally ill, emotionally unbalanced suicidal person, lacking in his ability to properly comprehend the sheer complexities of the Court Appeal process, in defending himself?

Can I be frank and ask an honest question from you?

Have you any part or role in what has transpired in my matters, without offending if wrong?

I have enclosed:

Letter faxed 28 August 2024. Robert O'Neill Services Terminated. 6x pages.

3 July 2024 Affidavit, 26x pages.

22 August 2024 Affidavit, 26x pages.

Amended list of authorities dated 29 August 2024. 9x pages. This document is hastily prepared, with **greater entthesis on the list authorities' amendments**, to deal with the current set of circumstances. Originally set at 44, it is now expanded to 143, yet there are some doubles in this increase, reflective of the separate arguments to canvass in this shift.

The 'Materials relied upon'

This is a temporary list for the hoped-for additional Exhibits to present.

I do not have enough ink left in my cartridge printer to produce the identified numbered items, plus I have to sort out in chronology.

This document is born in the 'interim' and designed to provide the Courts a fair description of the utter chaos current state which my case was left rendered in. Akin to a dog's breakfast!

I ask that you intitiate the above proceedings, I seek to attend in person to quantify in person, the essence of my dire predicament found in. With deliberate acts in scuttling by Pro-Bono representatives assigned by the Courts.

Is this a case of In-house sabotaging, working collectively to:

ENGAGE IN WILFUL ACTS OF PERVERTING THE COURSE OF JUSTICE?

I would hope not, and that the *Appeal Courts and its processes are above reproach acting lawful, with their duty and responsibilities of the sworn oath in office*

Kind sincere regards.

Christopher Dean Pecotic/Binse 43517

Chris Binse 29 AUGUST 2024